

# Wokingham Borough Council

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2016

October 2016

Ernst & Young LLP

11



Agenda Item 34.

## Contents

Executive Summary .....	2
Purpose.....	5
Responsibilities.....	7
Financial Statement Audit .....	10
Value for Money .....	13
Other Reporting Issues.....	16
Focused on your future.....	19
Appendix A     Audit Fees .....	22

12

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16". It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website ([www.psaa.co.uk](http://www.psaa.co.uk))

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure - If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.



## Executive Summary

## Executive Summary

We are required to issue an annual audit letter to Wokingham Borough Council (the Council) following completion of our audit procedures for the year ended 31 March 2016.

Below are the results and conclusions on the significant areas of the audit process.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Opinion on the Council's: ▶ Financial statements	Unqualified – the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2016 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended.
▶ Consistency of other information published with the financial statements	Other information published with the financial statements was consistent with the Annual Accounts.
Concluding on the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	We concluded that you have put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources.

4

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reports by exception: ▶ Consistency of Governance Statement	The Governance Statement was consistent with our understanding of the Council.
▶ Public interest report	We had no matters to report in the public interest.
▶ Written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State	We had no matters to report.
▶ Other actions taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014	We had no matters to report.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reporting to the National Audit Office (NAO) on our review of the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return (WGA).	We had no matters to report.

As a result of the above we have also:

Area of Work	Conclusion
Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Council communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	Our Audit Results Report was issued on 28 September 2016.
Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice.	Our certificate was issued on 19 October 2016 following completion of our work on the Whole of Government Accounts return.

In February 2017 we will also issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council summarising the certification work we have undertaken.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council's staff for their assistance during the course of our work.

Helen Thompson

Executive Director  
For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP



Purpose

16

A

WORK

WORKING



## Purpose

### The Purpose of this Letter

The purpose of this annual audit letter is to communicate to Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to the attention of the Council.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2015/16 Audit Results Report to the 28 September 2016 Audit Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those detailed findings in this letter. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.



Responsibilities

## Responsibilities

### Responsibilities of the Appointed Auditor

Our 2015/16 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan that we issued in March 2016 and is conducted in accordance with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- ▶ Expressing an opinion:
  - ▶ on the 2015/16 financial statements; and
  - ▶ on the consistency of other information published with the financial statements.
- ▶ Forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- ▶ Reporting by exception:
  - ▶ if the annual governance statement is misleading or not consistent with our understanding of the Council;
  - ▶ any significant matters that are in the public interest;
  - ▶ any written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State; and
  - ▶ if we have discharged our duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO) on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review and the nature of our report are specified by the NAO.

## Responsibilities of the Council

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its statement of accounts accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement (AGS). In the AGS, the Council reports publicly each year on how far it complies with its own code of governance, including how it has monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

## Financial Statement Audit



## Financial Statement Audit

### Key Issues

The Council's Statement of Accounts is an important tool for the Council to show how it has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Council's Statement of Accounts in line with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office and issued an unqualified audit report on 30 September 2016.

Our detailed findings were reported to the 28 September 2016 Audit Committee.

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows:

Significant Risk	Conclusion
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>A risk present on all audits is that management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly, and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>Auditing standards require us to respond to this risk by testing the appropriateness of journals, testing accounting estimates for possible management bias and obtaining an understanding of the business rationale for any significant unusual transactions.</p>	<p>We obtained a full list of journals posted to the general ledger during the year, and analysed these journals using criteria we set to identify any unusual journal types or amounts. We then tested a sample of journals that met our criteria and tested these to supporting documentation.</p> <p>Our review of accounting estimates found that estimates were reasonable, and there was no indication of bias in the calculation of the estimates.</p> <p>We did not identify any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override from the work we completed.</p> <p>We did not identify any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied.</p> <p>We did not identify any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Council's normal course of business.</p>
<p>Incorrect Capitalisation of Revenue Spend</p> <p>In local authorities the potential for the incorrect classification of revenue spend as capital is a particular area where there is a risk of management override. We reviewed capital expenditure on property, plant and equipment to ensure it meets the relevant accounting requirements to be capitalised.</p>	<p>Our testing did not identify any expenditure which had been inappropriately capitalised.</p> <p>Our wider work on revenue and expenditure did not identify any material misstatements.</p> <p>Our work on cut off at period end did not identify any misstatements.</p>

Significant Risk	Conclusion
<p>IPSAS 16 &amp; IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement</p> <p>Changes to IPSAS 16 and IFRS 13 with respect to Investment Properties and Fair Value valuation respectively came into effect for the financial year 2015/16. The new IPSAS 16 standard could have had the potential to change the classification of an Investment Property if the property was used to facilitate the delivery of services or production of goods as well as to earn rental income or capital appreciation or both.</p> <p>The Council had approximately £32 million of Investment Property as at 31 March 2015 and any re-assessment to comply with IPSAS 16 or IFRS 13 could have resulted in a material re-classification between Investment Properties and Other Land and Buildings.</p>	<p>We reviewed the Council's assessment of the impact of IPSAS 16 and IFRS 13 on Surplus Assets and/or Investment Properties and noted no issues.</p> <p>We tested a sample of assets classified as Investment Properties and re-classified from Investment Properties and agreed with the classification.</p> <p>We completed an assessment of the property valuation expert commissioned to undertake the valuation. This did not identify any issues.</p>
<p>Better Care Fund</p> <p>The Better Care Fund (BCF) is a major policy initiative between local authorities, CCGs and NHS providers with a primary aim of driving closer integration and improving outcomes for patients, service users and carers. The intention is that partners use the pooled fund to jointly commission health and social care services at a local level.</p> <p>From 1 April 2015, the BCF has been set up as a pooled budget between Wokingham Borough Council and NHS Wokingham CCG. There are two pools, one is hosted by the Council and the other is managed by the CCG.</p> <p>Local BCF arrangements may be complex and varied, involving a number of different commissioning, governance and accounting arrangements. There are also structural, cultural and regulatory differences between local government and the NHS, and it is important that these are understood and considered by all of the partners in the operation of the pool.</p>	<p>We examined relevant agreements entered into by the Council; reviewed the proposed accounting treatment for BCF and disclosures; tested the relevant entries in the accounts; and reviewed the governance arrangements.</p> <p>We did not identify any issues from the work carried out.</p>




Value for Money

## Value for Money

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- take informed decisions;
- deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- work with partners and other third parties.



We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 30 September 2016.

Our audit did not identify any significant risks in relation to the Council's arrangements, and we have no specific issues to report.

## Other Reporting Issues

## Other Reporting Issues

### Whole of Government Accounts

We performed the procedures required by the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack prepared by the Council for Whole of Government Accounts purposes.

We had no issues to report.

### Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's annual governance statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it is misleading.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

### Report in the Public Interest

28

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether, in the public interest, to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit in order for it to be considered by the Council or brought to the attention of the public.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

### Written Recommendations

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to designate any audit recommendation as one that requires the Council to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a written recommendation.

### Objections Received

We did not receive any objections to the 2015/16 financial statements from member of the public.

### Other Powers and Duties

We identified no issues during our audit that required us to use our additional powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

## Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence in our Audit Results Report to the Audit Committee on 28 September 2016. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning regulatory and professional requirements.

## Control Themes and Observations

As part of our work, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control, we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control identified during our audit. We have tested the controls of the Council only to the extent necessary for us to complete our audit. For the purposes of the audit we have completed testing of Housing Benefit controls. We are not expressing an opinion on the overall effectiveness of internal control.

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.

29

In the prior year we found a number of issues with the quality and version control of working papers. This was in part due to the implementation of the new financial system in September 2014. We liaised with management about this issue and we note there has been improvement during the 2015/16 audit.

We have agreed to hold a review meeting in October with your finance team to assess how we can continue to improve our joint working arrangements. This will include a review of your financial statements to improve the structure and clarity of reporting.



Focused on your  
future

## Focused on your future

Area	Issue	Impact
Faster close	<p>From the 2017/18 financial year, the deadline for preparing the Council's financial statements will move to 31 May from 30 June. In addition, the deadline for completing the statutory audit will move to 31 July from 30 September.</p>	<p>The faster closedown timetable requires the Council to adjust its timetable for preparing the accounts, as well as the budget setting process and the timing of committee meetings.</p> <p>It requires upfront planning to identify areas of the accounts that can be prepared earlier, before the 31 March, and there will be a need to establish robust basis for estimations across a wider number of entries in the financial statements.</p> <p>For the 2016/17 audit, we are working with officers to bring our work forward to support the transition ahead of the new deadlines in 2017/18.</p>
Appointment of auditors	<p>The current audit contracts expire on the completion of the 2017/18 audit. The expiry of contracts also marks the end of the current mandatory regime for auditor appointments.</p> <p>After this, the Council can exercise choice about whether it decides to opt in to the authorised national scheme, or whether to make other arrangements to appoint its own auditors.</p> <p>In July 2016, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government specified Public Sector Audit Appointments limited (PSAA) as an appointing person under regulation 3 of the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015.</p> <p>PSAA will be able to appoint an auditor to relevant authorities that choose to opt into its national collective scheme.</p>	<p>Appointment of auditors for the 2018/19 financial year is required by 31 December 2017.</p> <p>The Council should consider whether it intends to opt into the appointed person scheme to appoint its own auditors from 2018/19 or if the Council should make its own arrangements following the legislative requirements.</p>

Area	Issue	Impact
Highways Network Asset (HNA)	<p>The Code of Practice on Transport Infrastructure Assets (TIA Code) was first published in 2010 and updated in 2013. The key aim of this document was to improve the asset management of TIA. During 2016, this guidance has been renamed and updated, with the Highways Network Asset (HNA) Code, Guidance Notes and Accounting Guidance being published. Local Government has historically used depreciated historic cost (DHC) as the valuation approach for infrastructure assets. The introduction of the HNA Code will see this valuation basis change to depreciated replacement cost with effect from 1 April 2016. The change will be applied prospectively from that date, so Highways Authorities are not required to disclose comparative information.</p> <p>This is a fundamental change in approach which will require new accounting and estimation approaches as well as amendments to existing systems, or implementation of new systems.</p>	<p>The impact on the Council's Balance Sheet will be highly significant; with the recognition of a single highways network asset of approximately £5 billion. The impact on the audit will also be significant, as auditors will need to obtain sufficient assurance over the material accuracy of this asset.</p> <p>We will work closely with the Council at both the local level, regarding system implementation, valuation procedures and accounting, and at the wider level through the continuation of our HNA Client Workshops.</p>
EU referendum	<p>Following the majority vote to end the UK's membership of the European Union (EU) in the EU Referendum held on 23 June 2016 there is a heightened level of volatility in the financial markets and increased macroeconomic uncertainty in the UK. All three major rating agencies (S&amp;P, Fitch and Moody's) took action on the UK Sovereign credit rating and, following the rating action on the UK Government. For entities in the public sector, there is likely to be an impact on investment property valuations if confidence in the wider UK property market falls; and the valuation of defined benefit pension obligations may also be affected. It is too early to estimate the quantum of any impact of these issues, but there is likely to be significant ongoing uncertainty for a number of months while the UK renegotiates its relationships with the EU and other nations.</p>	<p>Many of the issues and challenges that face the UK public sector will continue to exist, not least because continued pressure on public finances will need responding to. Additionally it may well be that the challenges are increased if the expected economic impacts of the referendum and loss of EU grants outweigh the benefits of not having to contribute to the EU and require even more innovative solutions.</p> <p>We are committed to supporting our clients through this period, and help identify the opportunities that will also arise. We will engage with you on the concerns and questions you may have, provide our insight at key points along the path, and provide any papers and analysis of the impact of the referendum on the Government and Public Sector market.</p>

## Appendix A

### Audit Fees



## Appendix A     Audit Fees

Our fee for 2015/16 is in line with the scale fee set by the PSAA and reported in our 28 September 2016 Annual Results Report.

Description	Final Fee 2015/16 £	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Scale Fee 2015/16 £	Final Fee 2014/15 £
Total Audit Fee - Code work	£105,617	£105,617	£105,617	£140,822
Total Audit Fee - Certification of claims and returns	£7,183 <sup>1</sup>	£7,183	£7,183	£15,716
<b>Total Audit Fee</b>	<b>£112,800</b>	<b>£112,800</b>	<b>£112,800</b>	<b>£156,538</b>

We confirm we have not undertaken any non-audit work outside of the PSAA's requirements.

34

<sup>1</sup> Our work to certify the Housing Benefit claim is ongoing and we will report any additional fee due to any additional work required to quantify errors in the claim in our certification report.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.  
All Rights Reserved.

ED None

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales  
with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

[ey.com](http://ey.com)

This page is intentionally left blank